# **Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality**

# **Performance Agreement**

# ACTING DIRECTOR: CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER



2014/15

A.

# PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

# MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

## MR ANDILE FANI

In his capacity as City Manager of the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality

AND

# MR THABO MATIWANE

In his capacity as

**Acting Director: Chief Operating Officer** 

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR 1 JULY 2014 - 30 JUNE 2015

All All

- Implementation Plan and the Budget of the Employer, and include key objectives, key performance indicators, target dates and weightings.
- 4.3 The key objectives i.e. the main tasks that need to be done, the key performance indicators i.e. the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved, the target dates i.e. the timeframe in which the work must be achieved and the weightings i.e. the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- 4.4 The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

#### 5. PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- The Employee hereby agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer and to actively focus on the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the Employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 5.2 The Employee hereby accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer hereby agrees to consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that are included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

## 6 APPLICATION OF THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 6.1 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee is assessed consists of two components, both of which are contained in this Performance Agreement.
- 6.1.1 The Employee will be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and 20 to Core Competency Requirements (CCR's).
- 6.1.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and contribute a specific value to the total score.
- 6.1.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CCR's will account for 20% of the final assessment.

Bre

6.2 The Employee's assessment will be based on his performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (performance indicators) identified in the Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPAs, and constitute 80% of the overall assessment result in accordance with the weightings agreed to between the Employer and the Employee and set out hereunder.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	20
Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation	30
Local Economic Development	10
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	10
Good Governance and Public Participation	30
Total	100%

6.3 The CCR's make up the remaining 20% of the Employee's assessment score and those CCR's deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job are selected (√) hereunder and agreed to between the Employer and Employee:

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPL	OYEES (20% o	of Total)
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	√ (Indicate choice)	WEIGHT
Strategic Capability and leadership	essential	10
Programme and Project Management	essential	5
Financial Management	compulsory	10
Change Management		
Knowledge Management		
Service Delivery Innovation		
Problem Solving and Analytical Thinking	essential	5
People Management and Empowerment	compulsory	10
Client Orientation and Customer Focus	compulsory	10
Communication	essential	10
Honesty and Integrity		
CORE OCCUPATIONAL COMPETENCIES		
Competence in Self Management	essential	10
Interpretation of and implementation within the legislative and national policy frameworks	essential	10
Knowledge of developmental local government		
Knowledge of Performance Management and Reporting		
Knowledge of global and South African specific political, social and economic contexts	essential	10
Competence in policy conceptualization, analysis and		



implementation		
Knowledge of more than one functional municipal		
field discipline		
Skills in Mediation		
Skills in Governance		
Competence as required by other national line sector		
departments		-
Exceptional and dynamic creativity to improve the	annantial .	10
functioning of the municipality	essential	
TOTAL		100%

#### 7 EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1The Performance Plan (Annexure A) attached to this Agreement sets out-
  - 7.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 7.1.2 The intervals at which an evaluation of the Employee's performance will be performed.
- 7.2 Notwithstanding the agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may, in addition, review the Employee's performance at any reasonable time, while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during a performance review will be documented in a Personal Development Plan which shall also set out the actions and time frames agreed to relate thereto.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
  - 7.5.1 An assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan as indicated hereunder;
  - (a) Each KPA will be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
  - (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale will be provided for each KPA based on the assessment rating calculator set out in the scorecard used whereafter the scores will be summated to calculate a final KPA score.

Performance Agreement 2014/2015

#### 7.5.2. Assessment of the CCR's

- (a) Each CCR's will be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale will be provided for each CCR's.
- (c) Based on the assessment rating calculator set out in the scorecard used whereafter the scores will be summated to calculate a final CCR's score.

#### 7.5.3. An overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6. The assessment of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating 1 2 3 4 5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.	
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.	
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.	

Performance Agreement 2014/2015

8 Tai Kin

2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.	
1	Unacceptable performance	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	

- 7.7. For the purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Employee, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons will be established-
  - 7.1. Municipal Manager
  - 7.2. Chairperson of the performance audit committee or the audit committee in the absence of a performance audit committee;
  - 7.3. Ward Committee member (on a rotational basis), where applicable;
  - 7.4. A member of the Mayoral Committee; and
  - 7.5. A Municipal Manager from another municipality.

#### 8. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1. The performance of the Employee will be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter Second quarter July - September 2014

Third quarter

October - December 2014 January - March 2015

Fourth quarter April – June 2015

8.2. The Employer shall maintain a record of the mid-year review and the annual assessment meetings.

- 8.3. Performance feedback based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance will be provided to the Employee.
- 8.4. The Employer or the Employee will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons subject to consultation and agreement between the parties before any such change is concluded.

#### 9. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) addressing development gaps is attached as Annexure "B".

#### 10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 10.1. The Employer shall and agrees to -
- 10.1.1. Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
- Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3. Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4. On the request of the Employee, delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him or her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5. make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to meet the performance objectives and targets established in this Agreement.

#### 11. CONSULTATION

- 11.1. The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of any of the powers or decisions of the Council will have or result in, amongst others,
  - 11.1.1. A direct impact on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;

10 WHZ C.S

- 11.1.2. Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer, and
- 11.1.3. A substantial financial impact on the Employee or on the budget under the control of the Employee.
- 11.2. The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

#### 12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 12.1. The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 12.2. A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the Inclusive annual remuneration package for the year under consideration may be paid to the Employee in recognition of outstanding performance.
- 12.4. In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall-
- 12.4.1. provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
- 12.4.2. after appropriate performance counselling, and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his / her duties.

#### 13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature or content of the Employee's Performance Agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods, assessments and / or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –
- 13.1.1. The Executive Mayor, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
- 13.1.2. Any other person appointed by the Executive Mayor.
- 13.6. In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

Performance Agreement 2014/2015

M XM

#### 14. GENERAL

- 14.1. The contents of this Agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A (Performance Plan) will be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 14.2. Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his / her Agreement of Employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

12 My

AS WITNESSES:

1. Employee

2. Thus done and signed at East London on the 2 Mday of June 2014.

AS WITNESSES:

1. Municipal Manager

Thus done and signed at East London on the 24 day of June 2014.

Tolk!

CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY.	Performance for exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this fevel. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criterie and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Pien and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.	Performance is significantly higher than the expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results as an a half of the performance criteria and indicators and fly achieved all others throughout the year.	ve results against all	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/ essessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results aginst more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in PA and Performance Plan.	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	Portolio of Evidence		Completion Certificates and tick sheets	Confirmation from the appointed Consultant and / or BCMM Engineering Department on services completed and handed over to BCMM	progress report to		Reports to council and attendance reports for workshops
A THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	hat the Employee has Pisn and maintained	ree has achieved abo the year.	fully achieved effecti	andards expected for the key performance	semployee has achie Performance Plan. Th a management efforts	Quarter 4 Target ending June 2015	lov	550 (1500)	(1700)	Implementation of 2 planning projects	ф.	Assessment of all staff from City Manager to Task. grade 15
Out the part of th	Performance for exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this fevel. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above to effective results against all performance criterie and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Pien and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.	Performance is significantly higher than the expected in the job. The apprelisal indicates thal the Employee has a against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fily achieved all others throughout the year.	Performance fully meets expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/ essessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results aginst more than half the key performance criteria and indicator as specified in PA and Parformance Plan.	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	Porfolio of Evidence		Completion Certificates and tub. sheets	Confirmation from the appointed Consultant and for BCMM Engineering Department on services completed and handed over to BCMM	Progress report to TIAC		Signed Performance scorecards for the first review
10110111011	yee at this fevel. The s as specified in the	b. The appraisal Indi xs and fly achieved	appraisal indicates the ed all others through	areas Performance iully effective results	The review/assessrand indicators as spentile in the level expe	Quarter 3 Target ending March 2015		400 (950)	450 (1200)	Implementation of 2 planning projects		Progress Report
	xpecied of an employ riterie and indicators	ne expected in the jo	reas of the job. The itors and fully achiev	ed for the job in key ; ias achieved below f	expected for the Job. nerformance criteria is to bring performance	Porfollo of Evidence		Completion Certificates and tick sheets	Confirmation from the appointed Consultant and I or BCMM Engineering Department on services completed and handed over to BCMM	Progress report to		Letter of appointments of EPMDS Steening committees and Directorate Moderating
	eeds the standard ex nst all performance c out the year.	ficantly higher than the	ce fully meets expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Empli performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year	Performance Is below the standard requira essessment indicates that the employee has specified in PA and Performance Plan.	of meet the standard in standard is a simost all of the pontiment or ability (	Quarter 2 Target ending December 2014		300 (550)	400 (750)	Impementation of Progress 3 quick-win TMC projects		Assessment of all It staff from City and Manager to Task EGrade 15
	Performance for exceeds the sta effective results against all perfor responsibility throughout the year	Performance is signi against more than ha	Performance fully maisignificant performan	Parformance is belon assessment indicate as spedijed in PA ar	Performance does ru effective results agai to demonstrate the co improvement	Porfolio of Evidence	NCTURE	Completion Certificates and tick sheets	Confirmat on from the appointed Consultant and for BCMM Engineering Department on services completed and handed over to BCMM	Land acquisition programme	DEVELOPMENT	Signed Performance Agreements for Planning Phase in Place
	ın	4	m	2	745	Quarter 1 Target ending September 2014	ES AND INFRASTR	250	350	Land identification for new projects to be implemented in phase 2	ND ORGANISATION	
A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN						Z014/15 Target	KPA 1:MUNICIPAL BASIC SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1500	1700	2 Phases (182)	KPA 2: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATION DEVELOPMENT	Implement system from City Progress Report Manager to Task Grade 15
						Baseline 2013f14 (To be confirmed at the of the financial year 2013f14)	KPA 1:1	1081	2396	Approved 3yr implementation plan	KPA 2: MUNICIP	Application only to Section 57 employees
						Indicator		Output	Output	Output		Process
CHARLES A STORY OF THE STORY OF						Key Performance Indicator		Number of top structures completed (Housing opportunities provided)	Number of serviced sites completed (Informal settlements upgraded (service provided) Relocated & In Suu)	Implementation of the Duncan Village Redevelopment Initiative Business Plan		6-monthly assessment of staff
SECOND STREET STREET,			CER			Strategy Code		SBDID18	SEDID19	SBDID22		NFR T
SOME AND PARTY SOUTH ASSESSMENT AND PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	NS 14/15		ACTING DIRECTOR: CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	쁴		Strategies		Provision of formal houses as part of Integrated Sustainable Human Settlements	To deliver sustainable infrastructure that support social and economic development	Roll-out the DVRI business plan		Signed Performance Managemnt Scorecard between staff & Spervisors up to Tasi: Grade 15
Chair of The State of the Control of	PERFORMANCE PLANS 14/15		ACTING DIRECTOR: C	MR THABO MATIWANE		Specific Objective		To improve the quality of life through provision of descent formal houses	To improve the quality of life through provision of bulk and internal Services	To Improve the living itions of priority though Townships		Roll-out of performance management to all task grades

KPA 3: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  Fagets and performance indicators  KPA 4: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  KPA 4: MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT  FAST  KPA 5:GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION  KPA 5:GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION  Fastors  Fast	POE files Imeously  Number of jobs created Input  Number of jobs created Input  Including  Implementation of  capital projects  The percentage of Input  The percentage of Input  The percentage of Input  On capital projects  dentified for a particular financial year  in terms of the  municipality's IDP  municipality's IDP
	KPA4
	КРА
75%  A 5:GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTIC 4500  4500  1000	2
4500 GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTIC 4500 1000 3500 875	KPA 5
Approved MURP Impact Assessment Study service provider	Quality of Life Survey
ACTING DIRECTOR CHIEF OPERATING-OFFICER: MR. T. M.	ACI SiG
DATE. ST SUME	C
ATING PIFICE	